## Paper 9716/01 <br> Speaking

## Key messages

## For Teachers/Examiners:

- Keep to the timings prescribed for the examination (see below).
- Prompt candidates to ask questions during/at the end of each conversation section, but keep your own answers brief. A candidate cannot qualify for marks while the Examiner is speaking.
- More than one question per section is required for candidates to qualify for full marks under Seeking Information/Opinions and Examiners should be prepared to prompt candidates for several questions to enable them to have access to the full range of marks.
- Candidates' questions should relate to the topic under discussion. Please see the Mark Scheme.
- Cover a range of topics (not just a single topic) in the General Conversation, some in depth, vary questions and topics from one candidate to another, be prepared to identify and follow the interests and passions of the candidate (not your own), and keep your own contributions to a minimum.
- Create as natural a conversation as possible, interact with the candidate and avoid lists of pre-prepared questions, especially those which elicit one-word or purely factual answers.
- Avoid topics of a highly personal or sensitive nature.
- Ask questions at an appropriate level and avoid IGCSE-type questions except as openers to fuller discussion.
- Ask questions clearly and concisely. Elaborate and/or unclear questions tend to confuse and unnerve candidates.
- It is the Examiner's responsibility to introduce the candidate at the beginning of the examination, not the candidate's.
- It is not helpful to use 'Maintenant, présente-toi...' as an opener for the General Conversation, as this tends to restrict discussion to a very narrow range of subjects.
- It is not a requirement of the Test for candidates to give their profile at the beginning of the Test and does not qualify for marks.
- If the candidate's Topic Presentation is not related to a francophone country or society, the mark for Content/Presentation must be halved. Many Examiners seemed unaware of this.

For candidates:

- Make sure that the presentation is not just factual, but contains ideas and opinions and also allows further discussion in the Topic Conversation.
- Make sure that the Topic Presentation lasts the prescribed $3-31 / 2$ minutes.
- Ask questions of the Examiner in both conversation sections and make every effort to ask more than one question on the topic or topics under discussion in order to qualify for the full range of marks under Seeking Information/Opinions. Make sure your questions are relevant to the topic under discussion.
- Remember that the Topic Presentation must make clear reference to a francophone culture or society: The presentation must demonstrate the candidate's knowledge of the contemporary society or cultural heritage of a country where the target language is spoken. This must be more than a passing reference, and candidates who live in a francophone country and who speak about an aspect of their own culture must make it clear beyond doubt to which country they are referring. Many topics were borderline in this respect. If the Topic Presentation is not related to a francophone country or society, the mark for Content/Presentation will be halved.
- Candidates are advised that it is better not to ask the Examiner direct questions during the Topic Presentation, as they disrupt the flow of the Presentation and do not count towards Seeking Information/Opinions in the conversation sections.
- A number of candidates asked rhetorical questions in the Topic Presentation. Rhetorical questions are not a requirement of the Test, but they may constitute, if desired, an appropriate part of the

Presentation. However, candidates should be aware that they do not count towards Seeking Information/Opinions in the conversation sections.

- It is not in the spirit of the examination that candidates ask their Teacher/examiner for key (or indeed any) vocabulary.


## General comments

It is important for Examiners to remember that this examination is an opportunity for candidates to show what they have learnt and a chance for them to express and develop their own ideas and opinions. Examiners should see their role as providing and facilitating this opportunity.

The way in which an Examiner asks a question can make a huge difference to how a candidate is able to respond. Examiners need to be aware that:

- Very long, complex questions tend to unnerve candidates and rarely facilitate discussion.
- Closed questions usually elicit short answers, sometimes just 'yes' or 'no', and should be avoided unless they are intended to open the way for a deeper discussion.
- Open questions such as Comment? or Pourquoi? are more likely to allow a candidate the freedom to answer at much greater length and in greater depth.

The examination should be a conversation, which can only be achieved by engaging with and responding to what the candidate says, not by asking a series of entirely unrelated questions with no follow-up. Going through a list of pre-prepared questions rarely results in a natural conversation and is not in the spirit of the examination.

## Administration

## Recordings

- Recordings this year were mainly clear, though there are still examples of faulty recording equipment. Examiners must check the equipment before using it and ensure that the microphone favours the candidate without losing the Examiner's own contribution. There were a number of centres where the Examiner was completely audible and the candidate distant and hard to hear. This issue was not necessarily related to social distancing in the examination room or conducting the Test remotely.
- Please choose a room which is quiet and where candidates are not distracted by external noise. Every year there are centres with excessive background noise.
- Only the Examiner and the candidate should be present during the examination. If a third person is required to be present, for example a carer, permission must be obtained in advance from Cambridge Assessment.
- Centres should keep a copy of the recording(s) in case a second copy is required by the Moderator or a broader range of marks is requested.
- Where centres use digital recording software, each candidate's file must be saved individually, as .mp files, and finalised correctly, so that each candidate's examination can be accessed for moderation. Files should be identified using precise candidate details (see the paragraph below) rather than just 'number 1, 2' etc.
- Centres are reminded that the sample of recordings they submit should represent candidates throughout the range of the entry, from highest to lowest.
- There are always centres which submit their moderation samples long after the deadline has passed and a considerable time after the examination was carried out.


## Submit for Assessment

- The vast majority of centres had no difficulty in successfully uploading paperwork and recordings.
- A few centres uploaded the recordings, but sent the paperwork by post or sent paperwork and recordings by post. Submit or Assessment should be used to upload all the exam-related files: recordings and paperwork.


## Paperwork

- There are always a number of clerical errors, either in the addition of marks or in transferring the total mark to Submit for Assessment. These should be checked carefully before submission. For the size of sample needed, please see the details on the Cambridge International website in the Samples Database.
- Centres are reminded that for moderation, in addition to the recordings, they need to send the Working Mark Sheet, a copy of the MS1 (computer mark sheet or equivalent), and any other relevant paperwork.


## Application of Mark Scheme

- There were some irregularities in the application of the Mark Scheme. Several centres awarded marks out of 10 for Providing and/or Seeking Opinions, when the maximum is 5; others awarded marks for Seeking Opinions, even when the candidate had not asked any questions.
- The Mark Scheme makes no provision for awarding half marks. Half marks should not be awarded under any circumstances.
- Many Examiners do not halve the mark for Presentation/Content if the candidate's topic is not demonstrably and unequivocally related to a francophone country.
- Where a centre engages two Examiners to examine the same syllabus, Examiners must have an internal moderation (standardise their marks) before submitting them to CIE for moderation and provide evidence of standardisation having taken place. Guidance on this can be found on the Cambridge International website (search for 'internal moderation').


## Format of the examination

There are 3 distinct parts to the Speaking Test:

1. Presentation - (3-3.5 minutes).
2. Topic Conversation - (7-8 minutes).
3. General Conversation - (8-9 minutes).

The Speaking Test should last no more than 20 minutes and no less than 18 minutes in total.
In order to be fair to all candidates across the world, these timings should be observed. Where examinations are too short, candidates are not given opportunities to show what they can do, and where conversations are over-extended, an element of fatigue creeps in and candidates sometimes struggle to maintain their concentration and level of language.

Examiners must also remember that the longer they spend on their own contributions, the less time candidates have to develop their ideas. Responses to questions asked by candidates should be kept brief.

## Presentation (3 to 3.5 minutes)

In this part of the examination, the candidate gives a single presentation on a specific topic of his or her choice, taken from one of the topic areas listed in the syllabus booklet. This is the only prepared part of the examination and the only part for which candidates are able to choose what they want to talk about. There were a number of cases this session where candidates spoke on more than one topic.

The topic list gives candidates a very wide choice - the most popular this year, at both A and AS Levels, were Le temps libre, La technologie, L'égalité des sexes/des chances, Les medias/réseaux sociaux, Le conflit des générations, Le sport, La famille, Le tourisme, L'environnement, La vie urbaine/rurale, L'école and La pollution. More unusual topics included Le clônage, L'art-thérapie, Le sexisme et la langue française, Le mariage précoce, L'intelligence artificielle and L'ordre publique et la loi en France. Some of the most interesting presentations managed to relate their chosen topic to a whole range of social and political issues. It was noticeable once again that the link to a francophone country increasingly borderline.

For the most part, candidates were clearly aware of the need, stated in the syllabus, that the presentation must demonstrate the candidate's knowledge of the contemporary society or cultural heritage of a country
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where the target language is spoken. Where this is not the case, candidates will have their mark for Content/Presentation halved (see Speaking Test mark scheme) by the Examiner.

Since the topic is chosen beforehand, candidates have usually researched quite widely, and have to select and structure their material to fit into 3 to 3.5 minutes - additional material which cannot be included in the actual presentation because of the time constraint may well prove very useful in the topic conversation section. In general, candidates had no problem speaking for the required time and many were able to give full and interesting presentations.

Candidates would be well advised to steer clear of very factual subjects e.g. La famille and Le Sport. The mark scheme criteria for the Content/Presentation element makes it clear that in order to qualify for the full range of marks, the presentation should contain not just factual points, but ideas and opinions. Candidates need to think carefully before making their final choice and consider whether it will be possible to develop and expand their chosen topic. Sport and family, though popular choices, are often the least successful for that reason.

Increasingly, candidates spend time giving dictionary definitions of very familiar topics at the expense of expressing their own ideas and opinions.

Candidates should only present ONE topic and the Topic Conversation which follows will seek to develop that same topic.

A few candidates this session gave Topic Presentations which were far too short. On the other hand, if a candidate goes over time, it is the Examiner's responsibility to draw the Presentation to a close after $31 / 2$ minutes.

## Topic Conversation (7 to 8 minutes)

In this section, candidates have the chance to expand on what they have already said and develop ideas and opinions expressed briefly during the presentation. Examiners need to avoid asking questions which encourage candidates to repeat the material already offered - their aim should be to ask more probing questions in order to give candidates opportunities to expand on their original statements and then respond to what the candidate says. There are not necessarily 'right' answers either here or in the General Conversation section and it is in the nature of a genuine conversation that those taking part may not agree with opinions expressed. However, differences of opinion can create lively debate (if handled sensitively and purposefully by the Examiner) and can give candidates the opportunity to defend their point of view.

At both A and AS Level, questions should go beyond the sort of questions appropriate at IGCSE Level. Candidates need to be able to show that they are capable of taking part in a mature conversation. In some cases, candidates were not able to offer much development or sustain the level of language used in their presentation, but others were successful in expressing additional ideas and seeking the opinions of the Examiner.

In each conversation section there are 5 marks available for questions the candidates ask of the Examiner: they should ask more than one question in each conversation section and it is the Examiner's responsibility to prompt them to do so. Examiners should make sure that they do not spend too long on their own answers to candidates' questions, thereby depriving candidates of valuable time.

Examiners should note that they must indicate the end of the Topic Conversation and the beginning of the General Conversation.

## General Conversation (8 to 9 minutes)

The General Conversation is the most spontaneous section of the examination. Candidates will have prepared their own choice of topic for the Topic Presentation (to be continued in the Topic Conversation), but here they do not know what the Examiner will choose to discuss (and it is the Examiner who chooses, not the candidate). Clearly the areas of discussion will be those studied during the course, but there seemed to be fewer varied and in-depth discussions this series. In a centre with a number of candidates, candidates should not all be asked to talk about the same list of subjects - themes should be varied from candidate to candidate and should on no account return to the original subject of the presentation.

This section is intended to be a conversation between Examiner and candidate, so it is not appropriate for the Examiner to ask a series of unrelated questions, to which the candidate responds with a prepared
answer, after which the Examiner moves on to the next question on the list! Examiners should display sensitivity in asking questions about topics of a personal nature i.e. religion and personal relationships and should try to keep their questions general rather than moving inappropriately into personal areas. Examiners should not regard the examination as a platform for imposing their own views on the candidates.

Examiners should aim to discuss a minimum of 2 to 3 areas in depth, giving candidates opportunities to offer their own opinions and defend them in discussion. Although the section may begin with straightforward questions about family, interests or future plans, which can, in themselves, be developed beyond the purely factual (questions asking 'Why?' or 'How?' are useful here), candidates at both A and AS Level should be prepared for the conversation to move on to current affairs and more abstract topics appropriate to this level of examination.

Candidates should be prompted to ask questions of the Examiner in order to give them the opportunity to score marks for this criterion, though Examiners should once again be wary of answering at too great a length.

A significant number of Examiners only covered one topic in this section. Many Examiners asked very basic questions which were not appropriate to this level.

## Assessment

- The greatest causes of difference were where marks had been awarded for asking questions where none had actually been asked or where Topic Presentations did not relate to a francophone country, in which case the mark for Content/Presentation must be halved.
- A handful of Examiners also found it difficult to establish an acceptable level for Comprehension/Responsiveness, Accuracy and Feel for the Language, while others found it tricky to differentiate between the bands for Pronunciation/Intonation.
- In rare cases, Examiners misapplied the mark scheme, most frequently by awarding marks out of 10 for those categories like Pronunciation/Intonation and Seeking Opinions which carry a maximum of 5 marks.
- Examiners at centres with a large entry of able candidates should be aware that marks may be bunched and that it may be impossible to differentiate between candidates to a greater degree than the Mark Scheme allows.
- Where candidates ask questions to elicit clarification or obtain information during the course of conversation, they should clearly be rewarded, but Examiners must remember to prompt candidates in both conversation sections if candidates forget to ask questions - the mark scheme gives the criteria for awarding marks for this element of the examination and these marks should be awarded regardless of whether questions are spontaneous or prompted, provided that they are relevant to the topic under discussion. A significant number of candidates this session had prepared questions which were not relevant.
- Centres are reminded that, except in extenuating circumstances, they should engage only one Examiner per syllabus, regardless of the size of the entry. In cases where the engagement of two or more Examiners on the same syllabus is unavoidable, the Examiners must co-ordinate with each other to establish an agreed standard and submit evidence of standardisation with the Moderation Sample. (See guidance on internal moderation on the Cambridge International website.)


## Paper 9716/21 <br> Reading and Writing

## Key messages

- In Question 1, the word or words chosen as the answer must be interchangeable in every respect with the word or words given in the question. The inclusion of additional words invalidates the answer.
- In Question 2, candidates are required to manipulate the sentence grammatically, not to alter its vocabulary or meaning unnecessarily.
- In Questions 3 and 4, candidates should not simply 'lift' (copy/cut and paste) items unaltered from the text. They need to manipulate the text in some way, re-phrasing by using different vocabulary or structures.
- In Questions 3 and 4, candidates should not begin the answer by writing out the question. Answers beginning with (for example) Parce que are quite acceptable.
- In Question 5, any material in excess of the word limit (total for parts a and b combined) is ignored. Candidates should not write a general introduction.
- In Question 5b, candidates should be encouraged to venture some brief relevant ideas of their own without confining themselves to the material contained in the text.


## General comments

The paper was of a comparable standard to that of previous years, generating a number of good scripts from able candidates who handled the various tasks with commendable fluency and accuracy, but the level of linguistic competence and knowledge of a large number at the other end of the range was simply overstretched by what was being asked of them.

The topic was one to which candidates in general were able to relate.
Stronger candidates usually appeared familiar with the format of the paper and knew how to set about tackling the different types of question. Where candidates scored consistently poorly, it was often because they simply copied items unaltered from the texts in Questions 3 and 4.

Illegibility remains a significant problem, partly because of very poor or quirky handwriting and partly because of ambiguous and messy crossings-out and insertions.

There were few signs of undue time pressure, with most candidates managing to attempt all questions, although some answers in Questions 3 and 4 were unnecessarily lengthy. Most of the questions on this paper could be answered in short sentences containing straightforward grammar and vocabulary, but some candidates still neglect the simple answer and look to over-complicate things by attempting structures which they cannot handle, producing answers so confused that they cannot be rewarded. Candidates would also do well to look at the number of marks awarded for each question or part question (indicated either in the body of the question and/or in square brackets) as an indication of the number of points to be made.

Many candidates still feel the need to incorporate the words of the question as an unnecessary preamble to the answer, which not only wastes time for both candidate and examiner, but also potentially introduces linguistic errors which can detract significantly from the overall impression for the Quality of Language mark e.g. 3(a) Le commerce électronique a-t-il évolué en France en 2019...; 4(c) Le client peut-il être tout de

# Cambridge International Advanced Level <br> 9716 French June 2022 

même pénalisé...; 4(d) Les livreurs peuvent-ils aggraver la situation.... Answers beginning with parce que, en, si etc. are quite in order, indeed usually preferable.

In Questions 3 and 4, it is encouraging to note that copying wholesale from the text has diminished in recent years, with more candidates understanding how to 'work' the text to avoid 'lifting', but it remains a common feature amongst the weaker candidates. It is important to remember that simply 'lifting' items directly from the text, even if they include more or less correct information, does not demonstrate understanding and therefore does not score marks at this level. Candidates must show that they can manipulate the text in some way (even in a minor way) to provide the correct answer. They should try to express the relevant points using different vocabulary or structures. There is an encouraging trend for the stronger candidates to understand how to do this quite simply, avoiding unnecessary over-complication. Even quite small changes (e.g. transforming nouns into verbs or finding a simple synonym) or extensions to the original can show that candidates are able to handle both the ideas and the language - see specific comments on Questions 3 and 4 below.

The paper ties the questions (and therefore the answers) to specific paragraphs (or occasionally to specific lines) in the texts. Candidates who find themselves writing the same answer for two questions need to pause for thought.

Question 2, on the other hand, is not the time to attempt to find other words for vocabulary items used in the original sentence. This question is a test of grammatical manipulation, not of an ability to find alternative vocabulary for its own sake. Candidates should therefore aim to make the minimum changes necessary, whilst retaining as many elements of the original as possible. They need to be aware, however, that alterations made to one part of the sentence are likely to have grammatical implications elsewhere, particularly in matters of agreement. Candidates should not attempt to cut corners by omitting the prompt at the start of their answers.

In Question 1, candidates nowadays appear more aware of need for the words given as the answer to be interchangeable in every respect with the word or words given in the question - i.e. the word or words to be inserted must fit precisely into the 'footprint' of the word or words which they are replacing.

In Question 5, candidates should realise the importance of the word limits clearly set out in the rubric: a total of 140 words for both sections, $90-100$ words for the summary of specific points made in the original texts and $40-50$ words for the response. Material beyond the word limit is ignored and scores no marks. This means that those candidates who use up the entire allocation of words on the Summary automatically receive none of the 5 marks available for their Personal Response. Although there has been a marked improvement in this respect in recent years, candidates from some centres still write answers in excess of the word limit, sometimes by a large margin, meaning that many good answers to the Personal Response cannot be awarded any marks since the overall word limit has been exceeded before it starts.

These limits are such that candidates cannot afford the luxury of an introductory preamble, however polished. It appears that candidates are still unnecessarily afraid of being penalised for not introducing the subject. In some cases, this resulted in candidates simply using up virtually a third of the number of words allowed, literally pointlessly, before they started. The word limit is already quite tight to achieve ten points and, from the outset, candidates need to make the point as succinctly as possible and move on to the other nine. It is a summary/résumé of specific points from the texts that is required in the first part of Question 5, not a general essay or a vehicle for personal opinions.

Other candidates made the same point several times or went into unnecessary detail.
It is strongly recommended that candidates count carefully the number of words that they have used as they go through the exercise and record them accurately at the end of each of the two parts, if only in order to highlight to themselves the need to remain within the limits. For the purpose of counting words in this context, a word is taken to be any unit that is not joined to another in any way: therefore il $y$ a is three words, as is qu'est-ce que c'est?

The most successful candidates often showed clear evidence of planning and editing their material with the word limit in mind, but other scripts were littered with crossings-out, which made them quite difficult to read.

International Education

## Comments on specific questions

## Question 1

This was often successfully negotiated by stronger candidates, but answers from weaker candidates sometimes appeared to be chosen largely at random, bearing no grammatical or semantic relationship to the given word in the question.

- In Item (a), the search for a plural verb to replace conviennent led some to opt for permettent (or less probably considérablement).
- In Item (b), chez moi was often correctly chosen to replace à domicile.
- In Item (c), the ending no doubt tempted many towards encombrants for pesants, which in addition to changing the meaning would have led to colis pesants et lourds.
- Item (d), was perhaps predictably the most successfully handled, sharing the où with the prompt.
- In Item (e), the ending of envahissant caused some to choose encombrants, pendant or avant.


## Question 2

There were a small number of very good answers to this question from the very strongest candidates, but as usual the task proved very demanding for candidates with an inadequate command of grammatical structures, or who failed to observe the basic rules of agreement.

In Item 2(a), some understood the requirement for a transformation into the passive, but many were happy just to invert the word order to produce L'envoi direct de cadeaux facilite l'e-commerce.

In Item 2(b), the après avoir (or après que) construction was unknown to the many, who frequently offered après (de) prendre mon temps.

Item 2(c) required the indirect object pronoun $m e$ to be manipulated twice in the transfer to reported speech, which very often resulted in le or se. Some of those who managed this sometimes made the plural verbs singular for some reason.

Item 2(d) was better handled, although some omitted the pouvoir or unnecessarily altered posés to imposés or causés (see General comments Question 2 section).

In Item 2(e) a fair number of candidates recognised the need for a subjunctive after sans que, but relatively few were able to find the correct form after on.

## Question 3

There was a tendency among weaker candidates simply to seize on a word in the question and to write out the sentence from the text which contained it or something similar, in the hope of including the answer somewhere along the way. Questions are usually specifically designed to prevent this.

Item 3(a) offered candidates the opportunity to get off to a good start by pointing to record sales in 2019 (II a dépassé/franchi le seuil/généré...) and by predicting further growth in the future (II va continuer à croître/grandir/devenir plus important...), without 'lifting' dépassement or croissance.

Item 3(b) saw some confusion over the meaning of location as opposed to un local, added to by the suggestion that aménagement means management. Successful candidates here realised that the question was essentially asking for verbs to replace the nouns location, aménagement, embauche and rémunération but some failed to finish the job by leaving a redundant and invalidating de in the process: louer/aménager d'un local etc.
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In Item 3(c), a similarly redundant de (installer d'un magasin) again suggested a lack of comprehension but many successfully expressed the benefits of being accessible to customers anywhere in the world, and in unlimited numbers. There was some confusion here between commerçants and acheteurs, as with the idea that réception illimitée referred to internet reception.

Item 3(d) was often successfully handled by candidates who pointed out the attractions of being able to order at any time of the day or night and without having to make a journey to the shops. Many then went on to appreciate the fact that you do not have to carry heavy parcels home or wait in a queue at the till.

Item 3(e) frequently generated both marks for stronger candidates who found straightforward ways of expressing the further advantages of being able to have presents sent directly to friends and of avoiding intrusive assistants, without 'lifting' envoi for the first mark or interventions for the second, although there was some confusion again over vendeuses and acheteuses.

## Question 4

Item 4(a): successful candidates here pointed to the impact of e-commerce on the market share of traditional shops, often sensibly using straightforward verbs such as baisser/tomber/chuter/diminuer, with the occasional dimunier or dismunir. They then went on to use fermer or disparaître to score the second mark, with the occasional disparer/disparaisser.

In Item 4(b), essayager and vérifiquer could not score the mark, but candidates generally appeared to understand the benefits of shopping traditionally. A residual de sometimes cost the mark in verifier de la qualité.

In Item 4(c), some seemed to think it was the companies who were returning goods to the customer, and there was much easily avoidable 'lifting' of reprise and remboursement. Relatively few pointed to the time wasted by customers in the process of sending unwanted goods back, but rather more understood the possibility of having to pay carriage charges to do so.

In Item 4(d), disposition was sometimes interpreted as disposing of the purchases, livreurs were occasionally people who worked in bookshops, and les articles were sometimes what you read in newspapers. But delays in delivery and items arriving at inconvenient times were often well expressed to score the second and third marks, apart from by those who attempted to press inconvénients into service as an adjective.

In Item 4(e), difficulties in opening/using internet sites were often successfully identified as limiting factors for Claudette and others, but the risk was sometimes thought to be that of thieves divulging her card number on the internet rather than Claudette having done so herself.

In Item 4(f), Claudette's willingness to hand her card to waiters in restaurants was correctly mentioned by many as being illogical, given her fears, even if there was the occasional suggestion that un serveur referred to an internet server, and the frequent unnecessary lifting of vol.

## Question 5

Question 5a asked candidates to summarise the advantages and disadvantages of on-line shopping, as presented in the texts.

Being concise is part of the task. See General comments at the start of this report for the need for candidates to embark directly on identifying and giving point-scoring information without a general introduction. Some wasted a significant number of words on a definition of e-commerce, generally copied directly from the text. A number of others produced general essays giving their own opinions, whether or not these related to any of the points that had been made in either text.

The mark scheme identified 14 rewardable points, of which most candidates managed a reasonable number, with the most efficient reaching the maximum of 10 . The weakest simply copied out verbatim chunks of the text, hoping to chance upon some rewardable material.

The most commonly identified points in favour of e-commerce included the ability to set up a business anywhere, for it to be open $24 / 7$ to an unlimited number of customer world-wide who can buy unpressurised and at the leisure. Low set-up and running costs meaning low prices were also mentioned.
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Common disadvantages included the lack of opportunity to try on articles or check their quality, delays in delivery and the possibility of theft.

There is no specific penalty for 'lifting' in this exercise as far as content is concerned, but excessive reliance on the language contained in the text is liable to be penalised in a significant reduction of the quality of language mark.

The Personal Response (Question 5(b)) was less intended to ask candidates to make a choice between working at weekends or during the holidays, but most candidates focused sensibly enough on the wish to earn money, gain work experience for their c.v., meet new people and help them. Many assumed it would be a clothes shop which would relate to their interest in fashion. Reasons given for not accepting the job generally included a dislike of having to deal with awkward customers or demanding bosses, the need to concentrate on school studies or to find time for relaxation.

## Quality of Language

The quality of language varied from good to very poor. The very strongest candidates wrote fluently and accurately, demonstrating a broad and flexible range of vocabulary and a commendable control of structure. The weakest struggled with the rudiments of the language, finding it difficult to express their ideas in a comprehensible form.

Agreements of adjectives with their nouns and verbs with their subjects (and even the process of making nouns plural) - the nuts and bolts of the language - appeared largely random in many scripts. Some candidates seemed to be unaware of the need to make any agreements whatsoever. Whether or not they appeared in the texts, words regularly changed their spelling and/or gender from one line of the answer to the next. Given that there are rarely signs of undue time pressure, one can only urge candidates to be much more systematic and rigorous over checking what they have written.

There appears to be a tendency even amongst those who do appreciate the need for agreements to confuse how to make nouns and adjectives plural with how to make verbs plural: for example the plural of la boutique becoming les boutiquent, and the plural of il achète becoming ils achètes.

Incorrect verb forms were prevalent, with some unable conjugate even very common verbs in the present indicative, e.g. faire (ils faient), avoir (ils avont), pouvoir (ils pouvent).

The use of the infinitive (-er) ending - or indeed anything else that sounded vaguely similar - seemed interchangeable with the past participle (-é) in some scripts.

The approach to spelling was often phonetic or idiosyncratic, e.g. on/ont, son/sont, ces/ses/c'est, ce/se, mes/mais/met, sa/ça, et/est, qu'en/quand often seemed to be selected at random. Even the most common words were misspelled: mauvet, éder, assé, tros.

Personal pronouns and adjectives in general would repay further study, as would the constructions following some common verbs; aider, demander, permettre, obliger etc.

The above section inevitably focuses on linguistic weaknesses which prevented a large number of candidates from satisfactorily expressing answers which one suspected they may actually have known. But many were able to transmit the required information and opinions using French which, although sometimes flawed, communicated effectively.

## Paper 9716／22 <br> Reading and Writing

## Key messages

－In Question 1，the word or words chosen as the answer must be interchangeable in every respect with the word or words given in the question．The inclusion of additional words invalidates the answer．
－In Question 2，candidates are required to manipulate the sentence grammatically，not to alter its vocabulary or meaning unnecessarily．
－In Questions 3 and 4，candidates should not simply＇lift＇（copy／cut and paste）items unaltered from the text．They need to manipulate the text in some way，re－phrasing by using different vocabulary or structures．
－In Questions 3 and 4，candidates should not begin the answer by writing out the question．Answers beginning with（for example）Parce que are quite acceptable．
－In Question 5，any material in excess of the word limit（total for parts（a）and（b）combined）is ignored． Candidates should not write a general introduction．
－In Question 5（b），candidates should be encouraged to venture some brief relevant ideas of their own without confining themselves to the material contained in the text．

## General comments

Overall，the texts were felt to be of an appropriate level and approachable by the overwhelming majority．The subject matter appeared to be one with which candidates were generally able to engage．

The paper was of similar overall difficulty to previous years and produced the usual wide range of marks． There were some very good scripts from able and well－prepared candidates who handled all the tasks with commendable fluency and accuracy，whilst there were some at the other end of the range whose level of linguistic competence was severely challenged by what was being asked of them．

Illegibility remains a significant（and growing）problem，partly because of very poor or quirky handwriting （notably the letters $r$ and $s$ appearing identical on the end of words）and partly because of ambiguous and messy crossings－out and minute insertions．

There are still occasional problems caused by candidates writing drafts in pencil and then writing over them in ink．This can make scripts largely unmarkable when they are scanned．

Most candidates appeared familiar with the format of the paper and knew how to set about tackling the different types of question．Where candidates scored consistently poorly，it was often because they copied items unaltered from the texts in Questions 3 and 4.

There were few signs of undue time pressure，with most candidates managing to attempt all questions， although quite a lot of answers in Questions 3 and 4 were unnecessarily lengthy．Most of the questions on this paper could be answered in short sentences containing straightforward grammar and vocabulary，but some candidates still neglect the simple answer and look to over－complicate things by attempting structures which they cannot handle，producing answers so verbose or confused that they cannot be rewarded． Candidates would also do well to look at the number of marks awarded for each question or part question （indicated either in the body of the question and／or in square brackets）as an indication of the number of points to be made．
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Many candidates still feel the need to incorporate the words of the question as an unnecessary preamble to the answer, which not only wastes time for both candidate and examiner, but also potentially introduces linguistic errors which can detract significantly from the overall impression for the Quality of Language mark e.g. 4(a) Des vegans militants auraient-ils endommagé des boucheries... ; 4(b) La viande présente-t-elle un intérêt... ; 4(b) Les Français évitent-ils... . Answers beginning with parce que, en etc. are quite in order and generally preferable.

Candidates would also do well to look at the number of marks awarded for each question (indicated in square brackets) as a guide to the number of points to be made.

In Questions 3 and 4, it is encouraging to note that copying wholesale from the text has diminished in recent years, with more candidates understanding how to 'work' the text to avoid 'lifting', but it remains a common feature amongst the weaker candidates. It is important to remember that simply 'lifting' items directly from the text, even if they include more or less correct information, does not demonstrate understanding and therefore does not score marks at this level. Candidates must show that they can manipulate the text in some way (even in a minor way) to provide the correct answer. They should try to express the relevant points using different vocabulary or structures. There is an encouraging trend for the stronger candidates to understand how to do this quite simply, avoiding unnecessary over-complication. Even quite small changes (e.g. transforming nouns into verbs or finding a simple synonym or extensions to the original) can show that candidates are able to handle both the ideas and the language - see specific comments on Questions 3 and 4 below.

The paper ties the questions (and therefore the answers) to specific paragraphs (or occasionally to specific lines) in the texts. Candidates who find themselves writing the same answer for two questions need to pause for thought.

Question 2, on the other hand, is not the time to attempt to find other words for straightforward vocabulary items used in the original sentence. This question is a test of grammatical manipulation, not of an ability to find alternative vocabulary for its own sake. Candidates should therefore aim to make the minimum changes necessary, whilst retaining as many elements of the original as possible. They need to be aware, however, that alterations made to one part of the sentence are likely to have grammatical implications elsewhere, particularly in matters of agreement. Candidates should not attempt to cut corners by omitting the prompt at the start of their answers.

In Question 1, candidates nowadays appear more aware of need for the words given as the answer to be interchangeable in every respect with the word or words given in the question - i.e., the word or words to be inserted must fit precisely into the 'footprint' of the word or words which they are replacing.

In Question 5, candidates should realise the importance of the word limits clearly set out in the rubric: a total of 140 words for both sections, $90-100$ words for the summary of specific points made in the original texts and $40-50$ words for the response. Material beyond the word limit is ignored and scores no marks. This means that those candidates who use up the entire allocation of words on the Summary automatically receive none of the 5 marks available for their Personal Response. Although there has been a marked improvement in this respect in recent years, candidates from some centres still write answers in excess of the word limit, sometimes by a large margin, meaning that many good answers to the Personal Response cannot be awarded any marks since the overall word limit has been exceeded before it starts.

These limits are such that candidates cannot afford the luxury of an introductory preamble, however polished. It appears that candidates are still unnecessarily afraid of being penalised for not introducing the topic (perhaps because of different practices in other subjects). Candidates routinely waste up to a third of the available words, literally pointlessly, by defining terms at the start, re-phrasing the question or stating what they intend to do in their summary. The word limit is already quite tight to achieve ten points and, from the outset, candidates need to make a relevant point as succinctly as possible and move on to the other nine. It is a summary/résumé of specific points from the texts that is required in the first part of Question 5, not a general essay or a vehicle for personal opinions.

Other candidates make the same point several times or go into unnecessary detail.
It is strongly recommended that candidates count carefully the number of words that they have used as they go through the exercise and record them accurately at the end of each of the two parts, if only in order to highlight to themselves the need to remain within the limits. For the purpose of counting words in this
context, a word is taken to be any unit that is not joined to another in any way: therefore, il $y$ a is three words, as is qu'est-ce que c'est?

The most successful candidates often show clear evidence of planning and editing their material with the word limit in mind, but other scripts are littered with crossings-out, which which made them quite difficult to read.

## Comments on specific questions

## Question 1

This was a reasonably straightforward first exercise, but answers from weaker candidates sometimes appeared to be chosen largely at random and bore no grammatical or semantic relationship to the given word in the question. Candidates are advised to narrow the choice down by identifying the part of speech involved.

- In Item (a), visites was generally well recognised, although the inclusion of les sometimes infringed the 'footprint' principle (see above).
- In Item (b), impossible would have been acceptable had it been plural.
- Item (c) produced a large number of correct identifications of par contre or even mais.
- In Item (d), the -ent ending of actuellement prompted comparativement, également, recent or frequents.
- Item (e) was well done, with the occasional accroître which, although related in meaning, would not have fitted here.


## Question 2

There were some good answers to this question from the very strongest candidates, but as usual the task proved quite demanding for candidates with an inadequate command of grammatical structures, or who failed to observe the basic rules of agreement.

In Item 2(a), the transfer from the passive proved problematic for many who either did not see any need to remove the -es ending of administré or changed the tense.

In Item 2(b), some candidates found the past participle of interdire difficult (interdi, interdis, interdu), with large numbers of others simply missing the need for the feminine plural agreement signposted by familiales.

Item 2(c) saw the more able candidates identifying the need for a subjunctive following permettre que, with an encouraging number managing to form it correctly.

Item 2(d) was well handled by those who remembered to alter the person of the verb and recognised the fact that the prompt dictated que sa famille et elle.

Item 2(e) caused its usual problems for candidates who did not understand the need for de to become à, but even those who did, often missed the agreement, making this the least successful item.

## Question 3

In Item 3(a), most candidates understood the principle of not eating meat, scoring a straightforward first mark. Many also pointed successfully to the difference between the two groups, although some suggested that it was only food that was involved or that vegans eat nothing at all.

In Item 3(b), successful candidates found the simple solution of replacing the nouns achat, port and utilisation by corresponding verbs, although the use of cuire instead of cuir caused confusion, and some made no mention of cosmetics or beauty products.
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In Item 3(c), the idea of celebrities jumping on the vegetarian/vegan bandwagon to boost their own image or popularity was not understood by many. The second and third marks required an element of increase in the frequency of the appearance of articles in the press and of vegan products on supermarket shelves.

In Item 3(d), most candidates successfully pointed to the objection to animals enduring cruelty or poor conditions, with rather fewer managing to avoid 'lifting' abattage by using abattre (rather than abattager, abatter, or abatir), or the simpler tuer. The third mark was often earned by a good number who satisfactorily paraphrased espérance de vie supérieure, without suggesting that it was the quality rather than the length of life that was the aim.

In Item 3(e), most candidates identified the contribution to greenhouse gases, and went on to find simple verbs (baisser/réduire:diminuer and substituer/remplacer/échanger, or even planter/manger plus de légumes). Some who used baisser nevertheless invalidated their answer by including the de from la baisse de la consommation (so writing baisser de la consommation), which did not demonstrate full comprehension. Others unsuccessfully offered les plantations or les vegetations.

## Question 4

In Item 4(a), most candidates produced a reasonable definition to earn the first mark, and a good number then successfully pointed to the nature of the vandalism in Paris, using finite verbs to express what the militants had done.

In Item 4(b), some overlooked the comment in the question, which they then simply copied out as a statement. Most correctly mentioned the practice of serving meat and vegetables as one dish, to score the second mark.

In Item 4(c), presented the problem of a potential double negative: Il essaie de les dissuader de ne pas manger trop de viande. Those candidates who saw the problem often reworded acceptably as II encourage/conseille... . The use of supprimer/exclure/éliminer often earned the second mark, where the double negative was not re-penalised.

In Item 4(d), the first two marks required candidates to point to the need to take supplements to replace the vitamins found in meat. Many who were unsure about inclure sensibly opted for prendre/manger/consommer. Replacing the nouns reduction and degustation by verbs (as prompted by the faire in the question) was the easiest way to score the third and fourth marks, although some scored neither by failing to finish the job by including the de from the text - réduire de la consommation, déguster d'un bon rôti.

In Item 4(e), a fair number missed the point by stating that les agriculteurs font de l'agriculture, but those who were able to conjugate entretenir (and produced campagnes rather than compagnes or compagnies) scored the first mark. The second mark required mention of the fact that animal farming accounted for a large proportion des revenus agricoles. The possible consequences for animal farmers and for some of the traditional elements of French culture and cuisine/gastronomy were often successfully identified for the final two marks.

## Question 5

Question 5(a) asked candidates to summarise the arguments for and against vegetarianism and veganism, as presented in the texts.

Being concise is part of the task. See General comments at the start of this report for the need for candidates to embark directly on identifying and giving point-scoring information without a general introduction.

The mark scheme identified 14 rewardable points, of which quite a number of candidates managed a high number, knowing how to select material carefully and economically in this exercise. The most efficient reached the maximum of 10 , whilst the very weakest simply copied out verbatim chunks of the text, hoping to chance upon some rewardable material.

Some wasted valuable words by writing at some length about avoiding leather/wool/dairy products/circuses, others on describing acts of vandalism in Paris.

The most commonly identified arguments in favour included the refusal on moral/religious grounds to inflict suffering or slaughter, a healthier diet and longer life expectancy, benefits for the environment/reduction in
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climate change and greenhouse gases. Some who mentioned hormones appeared to be more concerned that they are harmful to the animals, rather than to the humans who eat them.

Arguments against vegetarianism/veganism regularly included the loss of essential vitamins/proteins in the diet, the disappearance of French traditions and the loss of jobs/income for those who depend on animal farming/products for a living.

There is no specific penalty for 'lifting' in this exercise as far as content is concerned, but excessive reliance on the language contained in the text is liable to be penalised in a significant reduction of the Quality of Language mark. Those who simply resort to presenting a list in the form of bullet points using nouns without introductory verbs are also unlikely to score more than a bare minimum as far as the language mark is concerned.

The Personal Response (Question 5(b)) asked whether man's rights are greater than those of animals. A small number interpreted l'homme more literally than intended and wrote about gender inequality. Some introduced biblical/religious references to support their opinion. Others wrote thoughtful responses which acknowledged the rights but stressed that these brought with them responsibilities towards fellow creatures. Others stressed the fact that animals are sentient beings and that inflicting pain of any sort on them is wrong.

## Quality of Language

The quality of language varied from excellent to very poor. The strongest candidates wrote fluently and accurately, demonstrating a broad and flexible range of vocabulary and a robust control of structure. The very weakest struggled with the rudiments of the language, finding it difficult to express their ideas in a comprehensible form.

Agreements of adjectives with their nouns and verbs with their subjects (and even the process of making nouns plural) - the nuts and bolts of the language - appeared largely random in a many scripts. Some candidates seemed to be unaware of the need to make any agreements whatsoever.

Frequently recurring words often suffered the most: le viande, la viandre, les végétables (for légumes), agriculturel, les agricultures for les agriculteurs, les véganismes for les vegans. Given that there are rarely signs of undue time pressure, one can only urge candidates to be much more systematic and rigorous over checking what they have written.

There appears to be a tendency even amongst those who do appreciate the need for agreements to confuse how to make nouns and adjectives plural with how to make verbs plural: for example the plural of impossible becoming impossiblent, and the plural of il contribue becoming ils contribues.

Incorrect verb forms often appeared, e.g. peindre, accroître, disparaître and exclure, while some were unable to conjugate even very common verbs such as prendre, faire, venir, tenir, pouvoir, avoir and courir in the present indicative, and -ir and -re verbs in general.

The use of the infinitive (-er) ending - or indeed anything else that sounded vaguely similar - seemed interchangeable with the past participle (-é) in some scripts.

The approach to spelling was in some cases at best phonetic, even with very common words, e.g. soi/sois/soit, peu/peut/peur, mes/mais, on/ont, son/sont, ces/ses/c'est, sa/ça, ce/ceux qui, all of which often seemed to be selected at random.

The above section inevitably focuses on linguistic weaknesses, but the majority of candidates were able to transmit the required information and opinions using French which, though sometimes flawed, was nevertheless generally comprehensible to a sympathetic reader. The cohort also included some very strong candidates who displayed an ability to write French which was both virtually free from error and commendably idiomatic and convincing.
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Paper 9716/23
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There were too few candidates for a meaningful report to be produced.

## Paper 9716/31 <br> Essay

## Key messages

In order to be successful on this paper, candidates need to read the questions carefully and take sufficient time to plan their essays before starting to write. They then need to create logical, well-illustrated answers on the precise question set. Candidates should use the introduction to show their understanding of the essay title with all its elements and the conclusion to show their considered final judgement of the issues they have discussed. Structure and use of paragraphs are also important in order to demonstrate both clarity of thought and logical progression through an argument. In order to attain high marks for language, candidates should use accurate and idiomatic French which shows complexity both in grammatical structure and vocabulary.

## General comments

It was clear that most candidates had understood the rubric for the paper and although most essays were of the recommended length, there were also some very short answers. Although many candidates were able to express their ideas effectively and introduced the topic clearly in the opening paragraph, arguments were often limited to general statements, with little development and few examples. Most of the candidates did attempt a plan but it was often written in English and was short, in list form and sketchy in content. Many scripts showed a weakness in paragraphing, at times merely starting with oui/non. Content marks reflected the level of discussion, structure and sophistication of the argument.

The quality of language varied considerably across the cohort and a number of essays had the language mark in the good or very good bands. There were also a fair number of weak scripts which had frequent errors in the use of basic grammar e.g., verb endings, agreements, spellings, vocabulary, and register. There was at times a considerable degree of interference from English and Spanish which significantly affected the communication of ideas. Some candidates demonstrated so little grammatical, structural or idiomatic awareness that their essays were rendered largely incomprehensible. At the upper end, however, there were some responses which expressed ideas in clear and accurate French using a range of structures.

Candidates who planned their essays carefully, defined the terms of the question and wrote a logical and persuasive argument, before arriving at a balanced conclusion, were most successful. They were able to demonstrate familiarity with a range of linguistic structures and idioms and were able to convince the reader with the coherence and relevance of their arguments.

Among a number of common errors, the following were seen:
Spelling errors and anglicised spellings: dangeureux, environment, government, essential, problem, example, le publique, beacoup, practiquer.

Use of comment for comme; pour for par
Use of grâce à or parce que instead of à cause de
Use of faire for rendre:
Nouns used without articles and verbs used without a subject pronoun: Est important parce que...
Confusion between: ce/ces/ses/c'est; ça/sa; son/sont; ce/ceux; à/a; mais/mes/met
Incorrect use of direct/indirect pronouns: ils les donnent; ils leur/leurs encouragent
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## Incorrect use of preposition after common verbs

Frequent use of beaucoup des with plural noun and cela with plural verb

## Comments on specific questions

## Question 1

Les conseils des parents sont souvent inutiles ou démodés. Que pensez-vous de cette affirmation?
Candidates were generally in agreement that parents offer useful advice to their children although they may not always wish to hear it. They were sure that parents wanted the best for their children and to protect them from harm. Ideas were often expressed in terms of personal anecdotes about home life and specific instances of advice offered. Candidates appeared to value their parents' experience of life which gave them the right to criticise and advise. Some did, however, raise the question of parents being out of touch because of their age and their lack of knowledge about the latest technology, and some felt they were being pressured into following career paths that were too traditional. Overall, candidates did have relevant comments to make but often struggled to organise their arguments in a coherent fashion.

## Question 2

## Le seul rôle des médias est d'influencer l'opinion publique. Discutez.

This was a very popular question. There was general agreement that the role of the media goes beyond merely influencing public opinion. Candidates mentioned that it was a means of communication, providing information for people, particularly during natural disasters and epidemics, and sharing news from across the world. Some were quite clear that media does also play an important part in influencing public opinion. They highlighted the role of advertising, of influencers on social media, as well as the biased and fake news disseminated by some platforms. Some mentioned the use of the media by politicians to influence voters at times of elections and by governments to promote their policies through newspaper articles and comments on twitter and Facebook. Candidates had relevant comment to make on this question and most came to the conclusion that the role of the media was multi-faceted.

## Question 3

L'école devrait valoriser le mérite et l'effort de chaque élève plutôt que l'égalité elle-même. Qu'en pensezvous?

Few candidates chose this question. They generally agreed that it is important to recognise and value each candidate's ability and effort because they felt that equality could prove to be a demotivating force. They made reference to examples in their schools of candidates being treated as a homogenous whole and not being seen as individuals with specific needs. They felt that equality meant treating everyone the same and that this did not take account of individual circumstances, such as providing special materials and putting on special classes for those who might need them. Equality was seen as a theory which, while ostensibly targeting fairness, did not always work out on a practical level.

## Question 4

## Pour profiter pleinement des loisirs, on doit pratiquer une activité sportive. Êtes-vous d'accord?

Many candidates talked about the advantages of playing sport such as the benefits for health or for personal and social development. They considered that sport was a very good way to spend one's leisure time but they recognised that many people do not like sport or cannot do it for a variety of reasons including lack of money, lack of facilities or health problems. For these people, leisure time could usefully be spent on a range of other activities that also bring a sense of well-being. They gave examples of artistic and creative pursuits such as art and music classes and reading groups where people come together and enjoy developing their own interests. Candidates concluded that any activity that provides relaxation, stimulation and a break from work is as valid as playing a sport.

## Question 5

Les avancées scientifiques sont-elles la solution à tous les problèmes mondiaux?
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Candidates took a pragmatic view of this question. They were clear that scientific progress had greatly benefited society, particularly medical advances which were enabling people to live healthier and longer lives. They also mentioned the advances in communication through the development of computers and smartphones. They recognised, though, that progress in science has not solved all world problems, particularly, social problems. For them, poverty, homelessness, unemployment and mental health issues needed a different kind of approach, more caring than scientific. The conclusion, overall, was that scientific progress can only go some way to addressing the world's problems. Some problems are easier to fix with science than others. Candidates responded well to this question and there was a range of examples offered to illustrate the arguments.

## Paper 9716/32 <br> Essay

## Key messages

In order to be successful on this paper, candidates need to read the questions carefully and take sufficient time to plan their essays before starting to write. They then need to create logical, well-illustrated answers on the precise question set. Candidates should use the introduction to show their understanding of the essay title with all its elements and the conclusion to show their considered final judgement of the issues they have discussed. Structure and use of paragraphs are also important in order to demonstrate both clarity of thought and logical progression through an argument. In order to attain high marks for language, candidates should use accurate and idiomatic French which shows complexity both in grammatical structure and vocabulary.

## General comments

Overall, candidates produced a pleasing level of work, with few very weak scripts and, at the opposite end of the spectrum, a number of impressive pieces of writing. The majority of candidates managed to communicate ideas on their chosen topics, according to their level of ability. Some showed an excellent command of the language and produced mature and thoughtful answers as well as an in-depth knowledge of topic-specific vocabulary. Some responses did not fully target the precise wording of the question, but there were few largely irrelevant essays.

The essays were mostly well structured, with an introduction, with paragraphs putting different points of view linked directly to the question, and a conclusion. Candidates made a genuine attempt to link paragraphs and to create a logical argument, but conclusions were often disappointing, merely restating what had gone before instead of presenting a considered opinion. Across the cohort as a whole, there were significant inconsistencies in the use of basic grammar, including prepositions and agreements (singular/plural; masculine/feminine; subject/verb), use of accents, and interference from mother tongue. Careless spelling errors were much in evidence even in good scripts. There were also a number of scripts where candidates had made, perhaps, last minute changes, but overlooked how these impacted on the rest of the sentence, in particular on adjectival and subject-verb agreements. Most candidates, however, managed to include a range of vocabulary and grammatical structures.

Answers generally would have benefited from a wider range of clear and targeted examples.
Among a number of common errors, the following were seen:
Incorrect spelling of common words: concluir, activitée, sociétée, deuxièment, menance, droge, un individue, la plus part, emploie, à fin, l'impacte, environment, gouvernment, development, hors (instead of or), le stresse.

Spelling which impacted upon grammar: ont fait/en fait for on fait
Incorrect genders: la problème, la groupe, la monde, la programme
Incomplete negatives: on peut pas...
Agreement of tel, often left in the masculine singular form.
Use of malgré que
Incorrect use of beaucoup: beaucoup des gens; beaucoup de l'argent
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C'est instead of il est: c'est clair que ...
Use of grâce à instead of à cause de
Confusion between: ces/ses/c'est; ce/ceux.
Overuse of plusieurs, personnes, choses, beaucoup
Incorrect use of direct/indirect pronouns: ils les donnent; ils leur/leurs encouragent
Anglicised structures: ils ne sont pas donné
Incorrect use of plural verb after cela: cela aident
Incorrect use of preposition after common verbs: encourager de; préférer de; écouter à leurs parents

## Comments on specific questions

## Question 1

L'adolescence est la période la plus difficile de la vie. Discutez de cette affirmation.

This was by far the most popular question and there were many heartfelt responses detailing all the problems of adolescence. Most candidates agreed that the teenage years are the most challenging and provided many reasons why this might be so. They included references to physical changes, hormonal changes resulting in emotional upheavals and the stresses of school and home life. Peer pressure and the demands of social media were also mentioned as creating additional stress on young people. It was pointed out that the consequences could be devastating, with many teenagers falling prey to anorexia, depression and similar conditions. Some candidates mentioned the difficulties of being treated like a child but being expected to accept responsibility and make important decisions. Some also mentioned the difficulties encountered by young girls in some cultures where they may be expected to marry at a young age, before they have had a chance to enjoy their youth. In contrast, some candidates felt that young people have an easy life, free of responsibility beyong getting good grades at school, and can enjoy the discovery of new experiences. The best scripts compared adolescence with other stages in life. Being an adult was often considered to be far more difficult because of the many responsibilities such as earning a living, supporting a family, raising children and having to make important decisions alone. Some candidates made some very pertinent and mature comments about old age and the problems of loneliness, declining health and awareness of opportunities missed. Most canddiates were able to judge that, despite its problems, adolescence was an important stage on the way to adulthood but that it might not be the most difficult period in life. Answers were usually detailed and well illustrated.

## Question 2

Le but des médias est de provoquer l'émotion plutôt que la réflexion. Qu'en pensez-vous?
This was a popular question. Many essays dealt mainly with the positive and negative aspects of the media without specific reference to the question. The power of advertising, the impact of social media and influencers were mentioned as ways in which the media exert influence on the public. Headline-grabbing stories and the dissemination of fake news were seen to be the media exploiting the emotions of the audience. It was generally felt that playing on the emotions was a clear way to keep the public interested and, therefore, to generate income for the media platform. Some candidates were able to make reference to ways in which the media can generate serious thought, quoting newspapers and TV channels which pride themselves on providing unbiased news and an opportunity for their audience to reflect on serious issues. Candidates were able to make some interesting and valid points about the media but closer attention to the terms of the question would have been beneficial.

## Question 3

La discrimination positive est nécessaire dans la lutte pour l'égalité des chances. Êtes-vous d'accord?
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Few candidates chose this question. There were some very perceptive and engaged responses that showed real understanding of the subject. Some candidates, however, discussed the need to reduce inequalities without any real grasp of what positive discrimination actually meant. It was clear to all that more needs to be done to enable people to live and work on an equal footing without feeling any discrimination. They referred to inequalities of opportunity for women, ethnic minority groups, the poor and underprivileged. There were two points of view offered. Some candidates suggested that positive discrimination would give a real boost to the chances of those suffering discrimination as there would be more opportunities for people to reach their full potential in school, in the workplace and in society generally. Other candidates felt that positive discrimination is in itself unfair as it is still discriminating against some groups. Most agreed that it should be one tool in the fight against inequality, working alongside clear legal policy and ongoing education to change ingrained attitudes. Some felt that positive discrimination had been acceptable in the past but that now it was unnecessary as we have come so far in creating equality of opportunity. Many answers were thoughtful and well argued.

## Question 4

Les loisirs donnent autant de valeur à la vie que le travail. Discutez.
This was a popular question. The points made about the value leisure gives to life were sometimes made very eloquently but there was often little insight into the value that work gives to life, apart from providing money for food and housing. Some essays did mention those with a vocation e.g., doctors/lawyers, who loved their work and saw it as bringing with it status, feelings of self-worth and happiness. Candidates were able to offer a range of reasons why leisure activities bring value to one's life, including better physical and mental health, social contacts, a sense of wellbeing, relaxation, and a way of developing one's talents and creativity. Leisure activities were considered an essential part of life by all. Some candidates spent too long describing in detail what constitutes les loisirs and then omitting to consider the benefits of work. They ignored autant de valeur in the question and provided arguments that were one-sided and somewhat narrow. The best responses showed that a balance is needed in life and that both work and leisure could bring their own value to life.

## Question 5

Les avancées scientifiques et médicales retardent la mort mais menacent la vie. Que pensez-vous de cette affirmation?

This question was attempted by few candidates. Those answering it were able to describe advances in medicine and science that can prolong life, citing examples such as production of vaccines, gene therapy, new scanning technology for detection of disease and treatments for a range of medical conditions. Some felt that progress can put people's lives at risk too. To illustrate this point, they referred to the development of advanced weapons used in war as well as nuclear bombs. They also considered the role of scientific progress in the growth of pollution and the acceleration of climate change which will inevitably have a detrimental effect on life. The best answers showed that medical and scientific progress should not be halted but that there were clear lines to be drawn both ethically and practically to ensure that progress does not create situations where lives are threatened rather than prolonged. There were some very mature and thoughtful responses to this question and candidates had good specialist vocabulary and detailed knowledge.

FRENCH

Paper 9716/33

## Essay

There were too few candidates for a meaningful report to be produced.

## FRENCH

## Paper 9716/41 <br> Texts

## Key messages

Teachers should:

- Ensure that candidates are prepared to answer questions on three separate texts: either two from Section 1 and one from Section 2 or the other way round (one text from Section 1 and two texts from Section 2).
- Encourage candidates to manage their time carefully in the examination room, so that equal weight is accorded to three questions.

Candidates should:

- Think carefully about what the question is asking of them and plan their responses before they start to write.
- Commence responses to the passage-based (a) questions in Section 1 with a brief introduction, explaining what events have preceded the extract.
- Start essay questions with a short introductory paragraph which acknowledges the question, and end with a conclusion which summarises the points made in the essay.


## General comments

There was a significant number of rubric errors this series, with some candidates answering part a and part b of the same question, others addressing only one or two parts of the three-part commentary questions. A few candidates came prepared to answer questions on only two texts. It is critically important that candidates study three texts for this examination, and that candidates give each text equal weight in the examination. It is equally important that candidates understand the structure of the examination: that no more than one question can be answered on each set text and that at least one question must be addressed from each section of the examination paper.

The commentary questions were quite well dealt with, though once again the complexity of Beaumarchais' plots left a few candidates missing critical details in their replies. It is worth repeating that these commentary questions form a framework for candidates to show their knowledge of the text as a whole: it is not expected that candidates attempt to retell the whole of the plot, but answers which address only the basic questions and nothing more will not achieve the highest marks.

The essay questions were generally well structured, most starting with a simple introduction and ending with a conclusion which summarised the points made in the essay. Once again, however, many candidates wrote lengthy, pre-learned introductions to their essays which bore little relation to the question but described the background to the work, the author's life or his/her literary output. Such extraneous commentaries do not attract marks. Far better to start the essay with a simple sentence, such as 'Je vais analyser ci-dessous les relations entre la Comtesse et Suzanne au cours de la pièce Le Mariage de Figaro'.

## Comments on specific questions

## Section 1

## Question 1 - Le Mariage de Figaro, Beaumarchais

The Beaumarchais text was very popular and many candidates attempted the commentary question.

# Cambridge International Advanced Level <br> 9716 French June 2022 <br> Principal Examiner Report for Teachers 

(a) The question was satisfactorily answered by most candidates.
(i) Most candidates correctly identified Bartholo's feelings of jealousy and vengefulness. In Le Barbier de Séville, Bartholo had tried to marry his young ward, Rosine, against her will, but Figaro had frustrated his plans by arranging Rosine's marriage with the Count. Accordingly, Bartholo is now delighted to hear of the Countess's distress. He is even more pleased to learn that it is the Count himself who is the cause of Rosine's unhappiness. He sees this as divine retribution for Rosine's treachery.
(ii) Part (ii) was satisfactorily answered in the main, though the required background detail was omitted in some of the responses. The relationship between Bartholo and Marceline is frosty. They had once been lovers and had produced a son together. Bartholo had promised to marry Marceline but is now trying to renege on his promise.
(iii) Part (iii) was capably dealt with, though some of the detail was once again missing. Emmanuel, the illegitimate son of Bartholo and Marceline, had been kidnapped by gypsies and Bartholo's promise to marry Marceline was conditional on the return of their son. Through the tattoo on his arm, Figaro reveals himself to be the missing infant. The importance of this discovery is multifaceted: Marceline gives up her marriage claim on Figaro and returns her loan to Figaro and Rosine as a dowry. No longer reliant on a dowry from the Count to buy himself out of his marriage pledge to Marceline, Figaro is able to resist the Count's claims (droit de seigneur) on Suzanne. Marceline, in the meanwhile, discovers a new passion for Dr. Bartholo.
(b) There were too few responses to Question 1(b) to make meaningful comment possible.

## Question 2 - Les jeux sont faits, Jean-Paul Sartre

(a) Few candidates attempted the commentary question.
(i) Pierre and Ève are dead, Pierre shot by an informer and Ève poisoned by her unfaithful husband. They live as ghosts, able to observe the living, but unable to communicate with them. The pair have just met in the park, each attracted to a blind beggar playing the flute.
(ii) Part (i) was well answered. The beautiful woman and the worker represent the two extremes of the society (the bourgeoisie and the working class) to which Ėve and Pierre are to return (Ève and Pierre represent similarly opposing social classes). There is no recognition between the two as they pass each other, foreshadowing the failure of Ėve and Pierre's relationship. Once they are returned to life, the harsh realities of living in a divided society will undermine their hopes.
(iii) Part (iii) was also well managed. Social differences are primarily a problem for Pierre. He is uncomfortable consorting with the wife of an official of the ruling class and is embarrassed at having to drag Ėve down to his level. By contrast, social differences are less of a problem for Ève, but the failure of the relationship is caused principally by Pierre's refusal to abandon his revolutionary comrades.
(b) There were too few responses to Question 2(b) to make meaningful comment possible.

## Question 3 - La Mare au Diable, George Sand

(a) Only a minority of candidates addressed Question 3(a)
(i) Part (i) was efficiently dealt with by most. Germain is a widower of two years. He has three children and works on the land of his father-in-law, Maurice. His sister-in-law takes care of Germain's two youngest children, but she cannot do so long term as she has two children of her own and a third on the way. It is for this reason that Germain is being encouraged to go and pay court to the widow in Fourche.
(ii) Some candidates struggled to find details of peasant life in the extract. For the peasants, land and wealth are as important as love to a successful marriage. They rarely travel far from home and work on the land takes up most of their time. Appearances count in the countryside, but marriages of convenience are common and do not take long to agree.
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(iii) The final part of the question was well addressed. Germain arrives to find that the widow, Catherine, is already entertaining three other suitors. He is inhibited by their presence and finds it difficult to speak in front of them. He finds Catherine to be ugly, rather vain and older than expected. He refuses Père Léonard's Invitation to woo Catherine, disliking the distasteful way that she mocks her other suitors. He is embarrassed to be paraded through the village and he refuses to dance with Catherine after the mass. Ultimately, he claims that he has come to buy oxen, not to woo, and he leaves.
(b) There were too few responses to Question 3(b) to make meaningful comment possible.

## Question 4 - Kiffe kiffe demain, Faïza Guène

The Faïza Guène text remains the most popular overall. Answers to both questions were very competent.
(a) (i) Doria is academically weak and her school reports are poor. She finds school intimidating and claims that she despises most of her teachers. Her teachers find her uncommunicative and unfocused. Her attitude is a reaction to the disparaging treatment she receives from the other girls. She would like to work in the fashion trade but realises that she does not have the qualifications.
(ii) As a teenager, Doria is inherently cynical about everyone and everything. She believes that Monsieur Werbert only wants to salve his conscience. She doubts the good intentions of others and is embarrassed to be singled out for attention - she does not want to be pitied. Her only male model is her father, who has deserted her.
(iii) The humour of the second paragraph lies in Doria's scathing views of other people. She sees no good in her teachers: they never read your homework, they live their lives in relative luxury, with their leather sofas and revolting children, and their lazy, self-interested wives.
(b) There were some strong replies to Question 4(b). Doria's views on fatherhood have been tainted by her own father, who deserted her and her mother and returned to Morocco to start a new family with a more fecund young wife. She blames her father (and fate) for the dire straits in which she and her mother find themselves. Other fathers and most men in the novel are portrayed in a very poor light. Aunt Zohra's husband has married a second woman in Morocco. He beats his wife and blames her for his son, Youseff's imprisonment. Lila's first marriage to the father of her child is a short-lived disaster. Samra is locked in her apartment by her father and eventually runs away to escape his domineering control - her portrayal implies criticism of the way in which some Muslim fathers control their daughters. Nevertheless, Doria's views on men and marriage are not all negative: she views Hamoudi as a father figure and is happy as he marries Lila, taking on the role of Sarah's father. She also imagines replacing her own father, dreaming that her mother might one day marry Aziz, the grocer.

## Section 2

## Question 5 - Tanguy, Michel del Castillo

(a) There were too few responses to Question 5(a) to make meaningful comment possible.
(b) There were too few responses to Question 5(b) to make meaningful comment possible.

## Question 6 - La Rue Cases-Nègres, Joseph Zobel

(a) There were too few responses to Question 6(a) to make meaningful comment possible.
(b) The question was addressed by just very few candidates, but they dealt with the subject competently. Despite the harsh living and working conditions of the plantation workers, this is essentially an optimistic novel about the joy of childhood. José and his friends are typical, exuberant children, who are broadly indifferent to their poverty. José and his gang roam the fields, unconcerned at their hunger and the hardships suffered by their parents. They accept beatings and punishment as quite natural. School is exciting for José not because of the education it offers him, but because of the new friendships that he is able to make there. There are elements of pessimism in the novel, however, and the better responses also addressed these: oppression by the béké and the suggestion that the racist treatment of the plantation workers is unlikely to improve; José's lack of commitment at school, his reluctance to consider the careers that his mother wants him to
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pursue, and the uncertainty left with the reader at the end of the novel as to whether José will take advantage of the opportunities that have been offered to him.

## Question 7 - Candide, Voltaire

Another very popular text, with many more candidates opting to answer question 7(b) than 7(a).
(a) The key to a successful response was to stress the stark contrast between the utopian Eldorado and the unjustness of society in Surinam, which further convinces Candide that Pangloss's philosophy of optimism is flawed.
(b) The majority of candidates dealt with the subject matter very efficiently. There are three principal female characters in the tale: most responses addressed Cunégonde and the old woman; fewer responses dealt with the tale of Paquette, the former chambermaid in the baron's household. The common elements between the three characters are that each is portrayed as a helpless victim of men and/or circumstance, all suffer greatly and lose social status, and all three women are depicted losing their beauty as they age.

## Question 8 - No et moi, Delphine de Vigan

The novel remains very popular with candidates. Question 8(a) was slightly more popular than 8(b).
(a) Structure was important in this essay and the best responses examined both the pessimism of the novel and its positive messages in turn. No's inability to abandon her former life is an undeniably pessimistic comment on the difficulty of solving the problem of the 'sans-abri', and the author does not hide details of the appalling conditions endured by those, particularly women, who live on the streets. Nevertheless, there are positive elements for some of the characters: No discovers, at least temporarily, a taste for normal family life. She helps Lou's mother out of her depression, and she values enormously her developing friendship with Lou, whom she helps to acquire a new selfconfidence and maturity.
(b) There were some sympathetic and insightful responses to this question. Lou is greatly affected by the clinical depression of her mother and the lack of maternal love leaves her ill-equipped to maintain normal relationships with her peers. Lou's father loves his daughter and is desperate to maintain a normal home life for her, but he is torn between caring for Lou and looking after his depressive wife. His sympathy and tolerance can only go so far: he cannot replace a mother's love and is incapable of helping Lou come to terms with her feelings of inadequacy. No has never enjoyed a loving home environment. Abandoned by her mother, she has lived most of her life on the streets, which has left her hardened and incapable of adjusting to a normal family life. Lucas, however, seems relatively unaffected by the absence of his parents and seems to relish living on his own.

## Paper 9716/42 <br> Texts

## Key messages

Teachers should:

- Ensure that candidates are prepared to answer questions on three separate texts: either two from Section 1 and one from Section 2 or the other way round (one text from Section 1 and two texts from Section 2).
- Encourage candidates to manage their time carefully in the examination room, so that equal weight is accorded to three questions.

Candidates should:

- Think carefully about what the question is asking of them and plan their responses before they start to write.
- Commence responses to the passage-based (a) questions in Section 1 with a brief introduction, explaining what events have preceded the extract.
- Start essay questions with a short introductory paragraph which acknowledges the question, and end with a conclusion which summarises the points made in the essay.


## General comments

There was a significant number of rubric errors this series, with some candidates answering part a and part b of the same question, others addressing only one or two parts of the three-part commentary questions. A few candidates came prepared to answer questions on only two texts. It is essential that candidates study three texts, and that each text is given equal weight in the examination. It is also important that candidates understand the structure of the examination: no more than one question can be answered on each set text and at least one question must be addressed from each section of the examination paper.

The commentary questions were dealt with quite well, particularly so the Faïza Guène text, which is clearly popular. Owing to the complexity of Beaumarchais' plots, however, a few candidates overlooked critical elements in their replies. It is worth repeating that the extract questions in Section 1 form a framework for candidates to show their knowledge of the text as a whole: it is not expected that candidates attempt to retell the whole of the plot, but answers which address only the basic questions and nothing more will not achieve the highest marks.

The essay questions were generally well structured, most starting with a simple introduction and ending with a conclusion which summarised the points made in the essay. Once again, however, many candidates wrote lengthy, pre-learned introductions to their essays which bore little relation to the question but described the background to the work, the author's life or his/her literary output. Such extraneous commentaries do not attract marks. Far better to start the essay with a simple sentence, such as 'Je vais analyser ci-dessous la représentation de la société dans laquelle vivent Ève et Pierre dans Les jeux sont faits'.

It was once again pleasing to see a number of candidates disagreeing with the premise contained in the question. Such an approach is to be encouraged, providing the candidate's opinion is supported by relevant evidence from the text. However, the premise supplied in the question cannot be completely disregarded see the comments below on Question 7a.
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## Comments on specific questions

## Section 1

## Question 1 - Le Mariage de Figaro, Beaumarchais

The Beaumarchais questions were very popular.
(a) (i) Some candidates erroneously decided that the danger for Suzanne and Figaro lay in arousing the Count's anger/jealousy, were he to learn that Chérubin had been in the Countess's rooms. However, the Count had already discovered Chérubin in her ladyship's chamber (Act 1, Scene 9) and had banished him immediately from the castle, sending him to join the Count's regiment. The real danger for Suzanne and Figaro is that the plan to expose the Count - by sending Chérubin, dressed as Suzanne, to the planned assignation that evening and surprising the Count at that tryst - would be thwarted were the Count to learn from Antonio that Chérubin was still in the castle in contravention of his orders. Were that plan not to proceed, Suzanne would have little defence against the Count's demands (droit de seigneur). Also, were Figaro to refuse to yield his fiancée to the Count, he would forfeit the Count's dowry and would be obliged to marry Marceline, since he would be unable to repay his debt to her.
(ii) Part (ii) was answered well, most candidates recognising the earthy, almost farcical portrayal of the Count's inebriated gardener.
(iii) Suzanne observes that Antonio cannot identify the man who had fallen onto the garden, so Figaro takes the initiative by claiming that it was he who had jumped through the window, thus protecting the plan. Suzanne tells the Count that she will begin an affair with him and asks that he meet her after the wedding. In the event, it is the Countess who takes Suzanne's place at that meeting.
(b) The essay question was well handled, though some candidates felt that Beaumarchais presented all of his female characters as downtrodden and persecuted by men, thus overlooking the strength and ingenuity of Suzanne, the Countess and Marceline. Moreover, many candidates seemed to feel that female emancipation was the only theme of Beaumarchais' play. It is, of course a key theme, but one that Beaumarchais addresses primarily for its comic effect. Other themes are equally important, not the least of which are the class war and the satire of the aristocracy.

## Question 2 - Les jeux sont faits, Jean-Paul Sartre

Questions on the Sartre work were attempted by a good number of candidates, with the commentary question being a little more popular than the essay question.
(a) The commentary question was well answered.
(i) Pierre and Ėve are dead, Pierre shot by an informer and Ėve poisoned by her husband. They live as ghosts, able to observe the living, but unable to communicate with them. The pair meet and dance in the park, where they fall in love with each other. They are now called back to l'impasse Laguénésie to be given the chance to return as a couple to the land of the living.
(ii) The elements of parody were well identified. The registrar maintains a non-sympathetic posture and shows no empathy towards the couple. She criticises them for being late and expresses impatience at the couple's hesitance to accept the offer made. She reads monotonously from a large office binder, setting out a legalistic framework for the couple's return to life, and refers to 'le service' as if it were the function of a government department.
(iii) Most candidates recognised that Ève and Pierre fail to succeed because they each remain preoccupied by the problems from their previous lives and thus prove incapable of counteracting destiny. Fewer candidates referred to the class differences which are also a barrier to the couple's attempt to make a success of their relationship.
(b) The essay question was less successfully answered, primarily because many candidates failed to go beyond the obvious observation that Ėve is from the wealthy upper classes, while Pierre is poor and working class. The novel presents a society that is sharply divided between the haves and the have-nots. The wealthy maintain their authority via the military occupation, the Regency, which imposes its rule through the suppression of all opposition. Though Ėve and Pierre are equally
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responsible for their failure as a couple, since they each return to focus on previous personal issues, rather than concentrating on their own relationship, the fractured society in which they live contributes greatly to their downfall. Although Ėve is apparently a little more relaxed at accepting the lower status that life with Pierre will give her, Pierre feels uncomfortable when he learns that the woman he loves is not only a member of the upper classes, but also the wife of a senior official of the Regency which he has vowed to destroy. He is more sensitive to public censure than Ėve and is highly embarrassed at having to drag her down to his level.

## Question 3 - La Mare au Diable, George Sand

Question 3(a) was much more popular than 3(b).
(a) (i) Most candidates identified that the 'extraordinary events' referred to are those that took place during the journey of Germain, Marie and Petit-Pierre to Fourche / les Ormeaux. They include the trio lost in the woods; Germain falling for and proposing to Marie; Germain's surprising reception by the widow Catherine and her other suitors; Marie propositioned by the farmer who threatens to beat Pierre; their flight back to the woods and their rescue from the farmer by Germain.
(ii) Part (ii) was well answered. Germain is saddened by Marie's rejection and throws himself into his work to compensate. Though he is always conscious of where Marie is, he will not speak to/of her and he will not invite her to the farm, though he believes that Marie is suffering like him. As an act of kindness, and by way of staying in touch anonymously, he regularly leaves provisions at Marie's house.
(iii) The final part of the question was also well addressed. The difference in age between Germain and Marie is the principal barrier to their marriage (one which Marie has already explained to Germain). Social status and local custom also stand in their way: the village will look askance at a marriage between the relatively wealthy landowner and the young girl who lives in poverty. The fact that Germain already has three children might also prove a barrier, though Marie has already shown that she cares for Petit-Pierre and is very capable of looking after children. In the event, all these potential barriers are overcome by Germain's mother-in-law who, having obtained her husband's approval, encourages Germain to pluck up courage and go and speak to Marie.
(b) Though a few candidates struggled to draw comparisons between the Holbein engraving and the rural life presented in the novel, there were some very good responses to this question. Though the engraving of death goading a ploughman and his horses suggests that the poor man must toil on the land and expect nothing in death to compensate him for the misery of the life he has led, its connection with the rest of the work is almost non-existent. Indeed, the author rejects this image and presents a rural idyll which almost ignores the daily struggles of agricultural life. Village life is extolled and workers on the land are presented as being noble in their simplicity.

## Question 4 - Kiffe kiffe demain, Faïza Guène

The Faïza Guène text remains popular, with more candidates answering 5(a) than 5(b).
(a) Overall candidates handled this questions well.
(i) Hamoudi is a local gangster, drug-dealer and former prisoner whom Doria has known since very young. He is important to her because she has very few friends and he is one of the few people she can talk openly to. He is a figure of stability in her life and represents a substitute for her father.
(ii) Hamoudi believes strongly in the importance of the family and feels responsibility for Doria, whom he views as a younger sister. He is embarrassed by his outburst, not only because he has used bad language in front of a young girl, but also because he is ashamed of disillusioning Doria by suggesting that there is no hope in life.
(iii) This final section caused a few problems of interpretation. Doria despairs of ever being able to escape the misery of her life in Livry-Gargan. In her experience, the only way immigrants have of getting out of 'la cité' is by becoming highly-paid rappers or football players - she is not suggesting that Hamoudi's only interests are rap music and football. But Hamoudi has shown her that there is a different route: he has found happiness with Lila, and Doria now recognises that love also provides a means of escape.
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(b) The causes of Doria's unhappiness were well explored, but description of the humour she uses to disguise her distress was a little less successful. Doria has been abandoned by her father; she lives in an unattractive, neglected suburban estate; she is withdrawn, has few friends and has been referred to a psychologist; she is underperforming at school and has few prospects. Moreover, Doria's concerns for her mother, who is mistreated at work by her boss and fellow workers, heighten her anxiety. She hides her sadness by using cynicism as a self-defence mechanism. Her humour is negative/black, and she makes much use of sarcasm and irony to describe her surroundings and other people - quite typical of a teenager. Using slang, verlan and bad language, she mocks her fellow immigrants, most of the men in the novel (including, in particular, her father), her psychologist and her social workers.

## Section 2

## Question 5 - Tanguy, Michel del Castillo

(a) Very few candidates addressed Question 5(a). Tanguy is largely an autobiographical novel and the question was an invitation to describe those historical events which drive the action. There were some strong replies. The best alluded to the fate of communists at the end of Spanish Civil War, when Tanguy and his mother were forced to flee to France; the advance of the Nazis across Europe at the start of World War 2; the Vichy regime in southern France and the acts of collaboration and betrayal, including that of Tanguy's father; the concentration camps in eastern Europe and the inhuman treatment of Jews, Russians and gypsies that Tanguy experienced there.
(b) This essay question was also attempted by few candidates but was very capably addressed. Tanguy's father and Père Pardo are diametrical opposites. Tanguy's father abandons the family and flees to France. In Clermont Ferrand he is more concerned about preserving his reputation than he is about the welfare of Tanguy and his mother. He betrays his wife and child to the authorities and takes no further interest in their fate. Though he shows superficial affection for his son when Tanguy reappears after the war, it is clear that he feels superior to his son and the two soon fall out. By contrast, Père Pardo is a wise and true friend and confidant to Tanguy, whom he inspires with confidence. As a father figure, he cares for the young boy when he is ill, and he encourages and is proud of Tanguy's academic achievements. Tanguy is moved by Père Pardo's humanity and is able to unburden his soul to the man.

## Question 6 - La Rue Cases-Nègres, Joseph Zobel

(a) A fair number of candidates attempted Question 6(a). Unfortunately, although the question was very specific, some candidates failed to recognise exactly where Part 1 of the novel ends and went on to describe José's experiences at school in some detail, adding no further value to the response. The first part of Zobel's novel describes the life of José at home in la rue Cases-Nègres, and most candidates covered the essential details well: the poverty in which he and the other families live; the constant hunger; the discipline imposed by M'man Tine and other parents/guardians; the harsh conditions of work which all parents suffer just to provide the basics for their children; the apparent indifference of José and his friends to their poverty; their daily joy at being able to roam the fields, free from the influence of parental control; their acts of mischief and destruction; the role that witchcraft and voodoo play in their lives; the important role that Médouze plays in José's early education.
(b) The question was addressed by only a handful of candidates. The majority interpreted and explained the quotation well and went on to successfully describe the impact on José's secondary school education. As a black candidate, José is different from most of the other boys at school, who are either mulatto or the white sons of the béké. By comparison, he is poorly dressed and shoeless. He makes no friends during his first term at school and feels that no one is paying any attention to him. His first term's results are poor, to the disappointment and annoyance of his mother, M'man Délia, who has made great sacrifices to pay for his education. His mother's tears make him determined to succeed: he makes a friend in term 2 and his results improve. By term 3, he has developed a passion for reading and his improved performance earns him a larger scholarship for his second year at secondary school. Though he fails part 1 of his baccalaureate, he passes at the second attempt. Nevertheless, as the novel ends, José is finding his final year at school rather banal and suffocating, and he cannot decide what to do with his future.
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## Question 7 - Candide, Voltaire

(a) Question 7(a) was very popular. Many chose to disagree with the question, explaining that, although Martin's realism and pessimistic outlook on life were an important antidote to the optimism that Candide had acquired from Pangloss, Candide had learned more from others - such as the old woman, Senator Pococurante, Cacambo and the old Turk - and had gained more in experience from the horrors and corruption that he experienced during his travels than he had from Martin. This was a perfectly acceptable approach to adopt, providing the response explained just what it was that Candide had acquired from his friendship with Martin. What was not acceptable was to dismiss the question, ignore Martin and address only what Candide learned from his experiences an unbalanced approach which many candidates chose to adopt.
(b) Question 7(b) was attempted by considerably fewer candidates than 7(a) and was less successfully dealt with, in part because candidates failed to reach clear conclusions. The quotation was from the old Turk, whom Candide and his companions meet at the end of their travels. Candide experiences many examples of suffering, vice and need during his journeys around the world. He had tried, but failed to understand these events in Panglossian terms - i.e. that evil merely contributes to the general good. Martin's pessimism had also failed to offer Candide an adequate solution to the ills of the world. It is only by adopting the old Turk's advice and limiting himself to work, and to balance and moderation in all things that Candide can hope to achieve a degree of happiness and satisfaction in life.

## Question 8 - No et moi, Delphine de Vigan

The novel remains popular and a lot of candidates answered questions on the text, though Question 8(a) was three times more frequently addressed than 8(b), perhaps because candidates felt that they had less to say about the role of Lou's father.
(a) Most candidates chose to deal with each of the principal characters in turn, which was a logical and generally successful method for approaching the question. Most candidates covered the obvious mental problems of Lou, No and Lou's mother, along with their causes. Better responses also dealt with Lou's father's distress as he tried to maintain a normal home life for his daughter whilst dealing with his wife's severe depression. Some candidates suggested that Lucas suffered mentally because of the absence of his parents, though Lucas is a fairly well-adjusted individual and the argument was accordingly difficult to prove
(b) There were some sympathetic and insightful responses to this question. Lou's father loves his daughter and is desperate to maintain a normal home life for her, but he is torn between caring for Lou and looking after his depressive wife. He shows interest in Lou's hobbies, buying her encyclopaedias to encourage her curiosity; he promises Lou that her 'ancienne Maman' will return to health; he is understanding when Lou asks whether No can come and live with them and is tolerant of No's bad habits and slovenliness. But his sympathy and tolerance can only go so far: he cannot replace a mother's love and is incapable of helping Lou come to terms with her feelings of inadequacy. It is primarily through her friendship with No and the gradual recovery of her mother that Lou is able to mature and conquer her own insecurity.

FRENCH

Paper 9716/43
Texts

There were too few candidates for a meaningful report to be produced.

